

Litigation Support Experience - Tal Lavian, Ph.D.

<https://TelecommNet.com> tlavian@telecommnet.com (408) 209-9112

Case Name: MOV-Ologi LLC v. **Big Commerce Inc**

Western Texas Case 6:22-cv-00084-ADA

Client: Fish Richardson P.C.

Patent Nos: US 9,286,282 and US 10,769,358

Matter: Claim Constructions. E-commerce, obtaining data from abandoned webpage form. Declaration August 2022

Case Name: **NetScout Systems** Inc. v. Sons of Innovation Solutions LLC

Case 3:21-cv-1788 (S.D. Cal.) and IPR

Client: ErisIP LLP

Patent Nos: US 8,065,399, US 9,436,542

Matter: Automated network diagnostics. Declaration October 2022

Case Name: **Ericsson** Inc. and **Oracle** Corp v. Telecom Network Solutions LLC

USPTO PTAB IPR

Client: Venable LLP

Patent No: RE47,813

Matter: Feedback Loop for Dynamic Network Resource Allocation as disclosed in standard bodies such as IEEE, IETF RFCs, and 3GPP. September 2022

Case Name: **George Ku** v. Harald Herchen

CA Case No. 21-CV-376210

Client: Farlin, Hecht & Davis LLP

Matter: Google Gmail account and emails from iPhone and Apple MacBook laptop. Email headers analysis, SMTP, and IP locations. November 2022.

Case Name: Proven Networks LLC. v. **SAP America, Inc (“SAP”)**

C.A. No. 2:21-cv-00100-JRG

Client: Venable LLP

Patent No: US 8,266,530

Matter: Network Management System (NMS), multiple displays of dynamic alarm windows. Claim Construction, Invalidity, Non-Infringement, and PTAB IPR, 2021.

Case Name: **Firtiva Corp** v. Funimation Global Group, LLC,

Texas Eastern District Court – Marshal No. 2:21-cv-111-JRG-RSP

Client: Saul Ewing Arnstein & Lehr LLP

Patent No: US 10,116,999

Matter: A Claim Construction Declaration re: Broadcast communication - embedded information and content in electronic transmission, September 2021.

Case Name: **Juniper Networks** v. Smart Path Connections LLC PTAB

Client: Fisch Sigler LLP
Patent No: US 7,463,580, US 7,386,010, US 7,697,525, 7,961,755
Matter: PTAB Declarations re: MPLS Tunneling, IETF RFCs standards for MPLS, Multiprotocol media conversations, Pseudowire, MAC, SONET, 2021.

Case Name: **Microsoft** v. Uniloc - PTO Ex-Parte Re-examination '622 patent
Client: Klarquist Sparkman, LLP
Patent No: US. 8,724,622
Matter: An Expert Declaration re: Network Communications, instant VoIP messaging using mobile wireless devices. (same patent for other parties, Apple, Facebook, and WhatsApp), April 2021.

Case Name: **ZTE Corp.** PTAB IPR
Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Patent No: US 8,179,960
Matter: An Expert Declaration re: Video communications, streaming and coding, February 2021.

Case Name: Optis Wireless Technology, LLC, v. **Tesla Inc.**
Client: Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP
Patent No: US 8,149,727
Matter: An Expert consulting re: cellular radio communications and wireless for Tesla electric cars, February 2021

Case Name: **Unified Patents** v. BCS Software LLC. USPTO Reexamination
Client: Unified Patents, LLC
Patent No: US 7,302,612
Matter: An Expert Declaration re: Network Management, Network Health, and operation. Operational Support System (OSS), SNMP, and Device Management, March 2021.

Case Name: WSOU Investment v. **Huawei Technologies**
Client: McGuireWoods,
Patent No: US 6,882,627, US 7,508,755 and US 7,872,973, US 7,406,260, US 7,423,962
Matter: Investigation and an expert report for '627, '755, and '973. Claim Constructions' expert report for '260 and '962 re: Claim Construction, MPLS Routing, Packet Switching, Queuing, January 2021.

Case Name: **TCL Communication** Technology Holding
Client: Cozen O'Connor
Patent No: US 6,058,304 and US 7,139,591
Matter: IPR declarations and deposition re: Wireless telephony, handheld wireless device architecture, March 2020.

Case Name: **Polycom**, Inc. v. Direct Packet Research, Inc.

Client: Oblon (PTAB) and Pepper Hamilton (Federal)
Patent No: US 7,710,978, US 7,773,588, and US 8,560,828
Matter: Six PTAB IPR declarations, multiple depositions. Expert reports for federal court, deposition re: Firewall and secured multimedia communications, June 2019.

Case Name: **Avago Technologies** v. Netflix
Client: Hopkins Carley
Patent No: US 8,572,138, US 9,319,289, and US 9,497,264
Matter: An investigation, technology analysis re: Video Streaming, distributed network services, September 2019.

Case Name: Guest Tek v. **Nomadix** - Case No. T-448-17 Federal Court in Canada
Client: Knobbe Martens, and ROBIC LLP
Patent No: CA 600,760, CA 2,750,345, CA 2 790 354, and 2 817 932.
US8811184, US9154435, US9531640, US9871738
Matter: An investigation, multiple non-infringement, and invalidity expert reports, testimony in a Canadian court re: wireless and communications access control, June 2019.

Case Name: **Microsoft** V. Uniloc USA, IPR
Client: Klarquist Sparkman LLP
Patent No: US8,724,622
Matter: An IPR expert report re: An IPR expert report (very similar to Apple, Facebook WhatsApp expert reports), 2019.

Case Name: **Unified Patents** v. FireNet Technologies LLC, IPR
Client: Unified Patents
Patent No: US 8,892,600
Matter: An IPR expert report re: Access and security of data networking attached devices, Network Firewall, Proxy Server, Network security, 2019.

Case Name: **Guaranteed Rate**, Inc. v. Netrix
Client: Novack and Macey LLP
Matter: An expert report, a deposition re: MPLS and broadband architectures using Cisco equipment, 2019.

Case Name: Citrix Systems, Inc. v. **Avi Networks**, Inc., No. 17-1843-LPS (D. Delaware)
Client: Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
Patent No: US 9,148,493, US 8,631,120
Matter: Expert declarations for PTAB IPR re: Declaration for Claim Construction brief, depositions, 2019.

Case Name: **Apple** Inc. v. VoIP-Pal.com, Inc.; Inter Partes Review
Client: Erise IP PA.
Patent No: US 9,537,762; US 9,813,330; US 9,826,002; and US 9,948,549
Matter: Expert declarations re: VoIP and network communications, 2019.

Case Name: **Ticket Network**, Inc., and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc.
Client: Fish & Richardson P.C
Patent No: US7,548,867, US7,640,178
Matter: IPR Expert reports, deposition re: Web reservation over the Internet and database consistency over multiple reservation instances, 2017-2018.

Case Name: **Amazon** Inc v. Hera Wireless
Client: The WEBB Law Firm
Patent No: US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389
Matter: Research, analysis, and drafting an expert report (not filed) re: Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO, 2018.

Case Name: **ATEN** International Co., LTD v. Uniclass Technology Co., LTD
Client: Mei & Mark LLP (/ Devlin Law Firm LLC)
Patent No: US6,957,287, US7,472,217, US7,640,289 and US8,589,141
Matter: Several infringement expert reports. Deposition and court testimony was given.
re: Patents related to KVM switch architectures, Bluetooth. Network communications, 2016-2017.

Case Name: **Apple** V. Uniloc USA, IPR2017-01804
Client: Stern Kessler Goldstein Fox
Patent No: US8,724,622
Matter: An IPR expert report re: An IPR expert report (the same as for Facebook WhatsApp), 2017.

Case Name: IPR for **Huawei** and RPX
Client: Wolf Greenfield LLP
Patent No: US6,738,378 & US6,252,848
Matter: An IPR expert report re: Patents in network communications. TCP/IP, Internet Protocols, 2017.

Case Name: **LG** and **Huawei** v. Intellectual Venture
Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &Dunner, LLP
Patent No: US8,994,433
Matter: An IPR expert report re: Wireless Mobile Devices. An IPR expert report, (The same as for Facebook WhatsApp), 2017.

Case Name: **T-Mobile** v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies LP.
Client: Perkins Coie LLP
Patent No: US8,559,369 and US9,042,306

Matter: An expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for the two patents re: Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data, 2017.

Case Name: **Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC**

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: US8,892,465, US9,118,693, US9,124,717, US9,124,718, US9,203,870, US9,203,956, US9,215,310 and US 9,219,810, Further IPRs for Patent Nos. US8,892,465, US9,118,693, US9,124,717 and US9,124,718

Matter: An expert for defendant Facebook, IPR, and CBM expert reports, Deposition testimony re: Patents related to cellular systems using OFDM, 2017.

Case Name: **Facebook, WhatsApp. V. Uniloc USA., Inc.**

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: US8,199,747, US8,995,433, US8,243,723, and US 7,535,890. IPR2017-01524, IPR2017-01365, IPR2017-01523

Matter: Expert for defendant Facebook. Wrote IPR expert reports. Testified in depositions re: Mobile wireless. Patents related to Unified Communications. VoIP, Cellphone Short Messages, SMS, MMS, Chat app, 2016.

Case Name: **YMax Corporation v. Focal IP, LLC**

Client: Akerman LLP

Patent No: US7,764,777, US8,155,298, US8,724,622 and US 8,457,113

Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, YMax Corp. Wrote three expert reports for the PTAB and testified in depositions regarding: Patents related to telecommunications architecture, PSTN, and VoIP. Streaming media, 2016.

Case Name: **FedEx (RPX) v. Intellectual Ventures IPR2017-00729, IPR2017-00741 Intellectual Ventures, LLC and Callahan Cellular LLC v. FedEx Corp.**

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US6,633,900 and US8,494,581

Matter: Wrote two IPR expert reports and testified in depositions re: Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks. Cellular systems, 2016 – 2017.

Case Name: **Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap Corporation**

Client: Warner Norcross & Judd

Patent No: US6,016,307, US6,473,404, US6,144,641, US8,400,926 and US9,036,499

Matter: Defendant's expert. Wrote a claim-construction declaration. re: Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications routing optimization. Routing and packet switching, 2016.

Case Name: **Facebook** IPR PTAB v. Windy City

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: US8,458,245, US8,407,356, US8,473,552 and US8,694,657
(IPR2016-01157, IPR2016-01158, IPR2016-01159, IPR2016-01156)

Matter: The expert for petitioner Facebook, Inc. wrote an IPR expert report for the PTAB, and testified in depositions. Re: Patents related to network communications. Mobile wireless (SMS on WhatsApp), 2016.

Case Name: **RPX** IPR PTAB filing.

Client: Winston & Strawn

Patent No: US8,788,090

Matter: Expert for petitioner RPX. Wrote an IPR expert report for the PTAB re: Patents related to network communications, 2016.

Case Name: **ServiceNow**, Inc. v. BCM Software, Inc.

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: USPTO PTAB IPR Cases: IPR2015-01555; IPR2015-01211;
IPR2015-01631; IPR2015-01601. CBM Case CBM2015-00170
,2:14-CV-00903
US8,646,093; US8,646,093 (CBM as well), US7,617,073;
US8,674,992; US6,816,898

Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for PTAB wrote expert reports for claim constructions. Testified in depositions re: Patents related to the network. Management, 2015.

Case Name: **ServiceNow**, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company

Client: Cooley, LLP

Patent No: USPTO PTAB IPR Cases IPR2015-00707; IPR2015-00716;
IPR2015-00631; IPR2015-00717; IPR2015-00707. CBM
Cases CBM2015-00108; CBM2015-00077
US7,925,981; US7,945,860; US7,392,300; US7,027,411;
US7,925,981, US7,945,860 (CBM); US7,925,981 (CBM)

Matter: The expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for the PTAB Cases IPR2015-00631 and IPR2015-00717. Testified in depositions. Re: Patents related to Web services and Internet technologies. Internet protocols, routing, and switching 2015.

Case Name: SNMP Research International, Inc., et al. v. **Avaya**, Inc.

Client: Holland & Knight, LLP

Matter: Expert for defendant Avaya, Inc., wrote expert reports. Provided deposition testimony re: Network management for IP-PBX. Internet Protocols, TCP/IP, Network Management, SNMP, 2015.

Case Name: Qurio Holdings v. **DirectTV** Case No.15-cv-01986-HSG (N.D. CA),

and 3 IPR petitions IPR2015-02005, IPR2015-02006, IPR2015-02007
Client: Perkins Coie, LLP
Patent No: US7,787,904, US8,102,863 and US8,879,567
Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, DirectTV, wrote three IPR expert reports re: Mobile device control, WAN to wireless LAN gateway, wireless LAN gateway, 2015.

Case Name: **FedEx** Corporation and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc.,
Petitioner v. Loramax LLC, Patent Owner
Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Patent No: US5,689,642 and US5,513,126
Matter: The expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner FedEx Corporation wrote an IPR expert report re: Improving communication between devices or stations. (Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed) 2015.

Case Name: Expert for **GT&T**
Client: McGuire Woods, LLP
Matter: An expert for a cellular telecommunications company re: Analysis of cellular network and phone systems, 2015.

Case Name: MD. Security Solutions, LLC v. **RPX**
Client: Wolf Greenfield, LLP
Patent No: US7,864,983
Matter: Expert for IPR petitioner RPX. Wrote an IPR expert report re: Network communications for alarm systems, 2015.

Case Name: **IXI Mobile** v. Apple Inc. 14-cv-7954 SDNY
Client: Simpson Thacher & Bartlett, LLP
Patent No: US7,295,532, US7,426,398, US7,016,648, and US 7,039,033
Matter: Searched for prior art, prepared invalidity claim charts re: Invalidity claim charts related to iPhone, iPad, and others, Apple's mobile wireless products and technologies, 2015.

Case Name: USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 (**NetAirus**)
Client: Hovey Williams, LLP
Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775
Matter: A short declaration for an office action re: Desktop computer configuring the system, 2015.

Case Name: Spherix v. **Cisco Systems** 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.)
Client: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP
Patent No: US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222,848, US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US 7,397,763, US 7,664,123, US 7,385,998, US 8,607,323 US 6,879,594, US 6,661, 788, US 6,233,245, US 6,684,241, US 6,661, 788, US 6,879,594

Matter: Searched for prior art, invalidity claim charts, and investigation re:
Network communication, routing, and switching technologies, 2014.

Case Name: Bockstar Technologies, LLC v. **Cisco Systems**, Inc.

Case No. 1: 13-cv-2020-SLR (D. Del.)

Client: Desmarais, LLP

Patent No: US 5,732,080, US 6,069,895, US 6,233,245, US 6,636,508,
US 6,684,241, US 6,778,653

Matter: Research and analysis of the prior art re: Network communication,
routing, and switching technologies, TCP/IP. Management of
bandwidth in a data communication network. Distributed route servers,
2014.

Case Name: **Cisco Systems**, Inc. - USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR)

(the petition was not filed)

Client: Armstrong Teasdale, LLP

Patent No: US 5,583,862

Matter: Expert for petitioner Cisco Systems, Inc. Wrote invalidation expert
reports for the USPTO PTAB re: Patent-related to
telecommunications, routing, and switching technologies. Routing
Switching, Network Communications, packet switching, Internet
Protocols, TCP/IP protocol suite, 2014.

Case Name: **Mobotix** - Inter Partes Review IPR2015-00093

Client: Fish Richardson, LLP

Patent No: US 6,975,220

Matter: Expert for petitioner Mobotix. Wrote invalidation expert reports for
the USPTO PTAB re: USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR), patent.
related to network architecture, network communications protocols,
and architectures, 2014.

Case Name: Brilliant Optical Solutions, LLC v. **Comcast**

District of Colorado, Case no. 1:13-CV-00886-REB-KMT and USPTO
Inter Partes Review (IPR)

Client: Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP

Patent No: US 5,555,478

Matter: Expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner, Comcast. Wrote invalidation
expert reports for the USPTO PTAB IPR (Plaintiff dismissed the case
without filing IPR) re: Patent-related to routers' switching fabric
architecture. Routing, packet Switching, 2014.

Case Name: Brixham Solutions, LTD, vs. **Juniper Networks**

3:13-cv-00616-JCS (N.D. CA) and USPTO IPR 2014-00425 and
IPR 2014-00431

Client: Irell & Manella, LLP, CA

Patent No: US7,940,652 and US7,535,895

Matter: Wrote two invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB. Testified in depositions. re: expert for the defendant and IPR petitioner Juniper Networks, a patent related to routers' switching fabric architecture, and a patent related to Ethernet pseudo-wire VPN. Routing Switching, Network Communications architectures, and protocols, 2014.

Case Name: **Ericsson** v. Samsung (I.T.C.), **ITC Investigation** NO. 337-TA-862

Client: McKool Smith (Dallas, TX)

Patent No: US6,772,215, US6,519,223

Matter: Wrote several expert reports. Testified in depositions. Testified in the ITC court. re: Patents related to cellular systems, CDMA, GSM, UMTS, LTE, and wireless network communications. Mobile Systems and Cellular Networks, 2013.

Case Name: **Comcast Cable** Communications, LLC et al. v. BT. Americas, Inc.
3:12-cv-01712-M (ND Tex.)

Client: Kecker & Van Nest, LLP

Patent No: US7,142,508, US5,638,516

Matter: Analysis, claim terms, and Markman claim construction re: MPLS technology, Routing Switching, Network Communications, 2013.

Case Name: **NetAirus** Systems LLC v. Kappos

USPTO 1:12-cv-00709-AJT-JFA, 1:12-cv-01207-AJT-TRJ

Client: Williams, LLP (KS)

Patent No: US5,280,583, US5,589,849, US2005/0110780 A1

Matter: Wrote a declaration regarding claim amendment re: Video conference application program, Unified communications, streaming video, and VoIP, 2013.

Case Name: e-Watch, Inc. v. **Mobotix** Corp. USPTO Reexamination

Client: Slater & Matsil, LLP (Dallas, TX)

Patent No: US6,970,183

Matter: Expert for the petitioner, Mobotix Corp. Wrote an invalidity declaration - USPTO IPR2013-00255 re: A wireless monitoring system, wireless networking, wire-line networking, 2013.

Case Name: **Teradici's** - USPTO Re-examination

Client: Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL)

Patent No: US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205

Matter: Wrote an invalidity expert report re: Patents related to network communications, remote KVM, 2012.

Case Name: Wassink v. **ACS** (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.)

8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (CD, CA)

Client: Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA)

Matter: Wrote an expert report re: Cisco certification of IT personnel, Network

Communications, 2012.

Case Name: **HumanEyes** Technologies, LTD v. Sony Electronics (ITC.)

1:12-cv-00398 (D. Del.)

Client: Kenyon& Kenyon, LLP

Patent No: 6,665,003, 7,477,284

Matter: Investigation of patents and related technologies re: Software related to mobile devices, video streaming including panoramic imaging, mobile wireless devices, 2012.

Case Name: Realtime Data v. **Morgan Stanley** et al. 1:11-cv-6696,-6701,-6704

(S.D. N.Y.)

Client: Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP (New York, NY)

Patent No: 7,417,568, 7,714,747, 7,777,651

Matter: Wrote a rebuttal to an infringement report, was deposed re: Technology related to a network communication protocol, TCP/IP Protocols, streaming media, and QoS 2012.

Case Name: Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. v. **VanguardBank** and PNC Bank

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US5,940,510, US5,949,880, US6,105,013, US6,237,095

Matter: Investigation of prior art re: Patents litigation related to virtual private networks (VPN) and secure service layer (SSL), Network Security, 2012.

Case Name: **M2M Solutions**, LLC v. Sierra Wireless, CA No. 12-030-RGA (D. Del.)

Client: Foley & Lardner, LLP

Patent No: US7,583,197, US8,094,010

Matter: Investigation of the prior art, claim charts re: Patents related to wireless and mobile devices in cellular systems, 2012.

Case Name: **Site Update** Solutions, LLC v. Accor North America, Inc., et al.

4:11-CV-03306-PJH (ND CA), lpr2016-01235,

CBS Corp., 15-1448, 13-1458, 5:11cv3306

Client: Goldstein & Lipski, P.LLC (Houston, TX)

Patent No: US RE40,683, US 6,253,198

Matter: A declaration re: Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e-commerce, 2012.

Case Name: **EIT** Holdings LLC V. Netflix and Barnes & Noble Inc.

Client: Goldstein & Lipski, P.LLC (Houston, TX)

Patent No: 5:11-CV-02466; 2012 WL 728387, 1:10-CV-01081,

2011 WL 7149251, 2011 WL 7149248

Matter: Expert report re: Patent-related to Internet and Web technologies, 2012.

Case Name: **Proxycconn**, Inc. v. Microsoft et al. 8:11-cv-1681-DOC-AN (CD CA)
Client: Russ, August & Kabat (Los Angeles, CA)
Patent No: US6,757,717, US6,370,646
Matter: Investigation of technology was deposited re: Speed data-accessing in communication networks. Bandwidth and network access, 2012.

Case Name: **Walker Digital** v. Google 1:11-cv-00318-LPS (D. Del)
Client: Greenberg Traurig, LLP
Patent No: US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US 5,136,501,
US5,832,497
Matter: Invalidity analysis, investigation of patents and related technologies re: Internet and e-commerce technologies, network communications, 2012.

Case Name: **BackWeb** v. HP and IBM 4:10-cv-04311-PJH
Client: Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)
Matter: Investigated patents and related technologies re: Patent litigation related to network communication, bandwidth allocation, 2012.

Case Name: **High Point** SARL v. Sprint Nextel 2:09-CV-2269 (Kansas City, KN)
Client: Hogan Lovells US, LLP
Patent No: US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US5,136,501,
US5,832,497
Matter: Search for invalidity prior art re: Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems, 2011.

Case Name: **Emblaze** v. Apple 1:10-cv-5713 -PKC (SDNY.)
Client: Greenberg Traurig, LLP
Patent No: US6,389,473, US5,841,432, US5,751,968, US6,138,147,
US5,610,841, US6,397,230, US5,579,239, US5,928,330,
US5,987,510, US6,014,694, US6,151,632, US5,864,682,
US5,659,539
Matter: Investigation of patents, invalidity analysis re: Computer network protocol for multimedia application. Network Communications, Internet Protocol, TCP/IP, SMS, MMS Streaming Video, 2011.

Case Name: **Motorola Mobility**, Inc. v. Microsoft 1:10-cv-24063-MORENO (SD, FL)
Client: Ropes & Gray, LLP (New York, NY)
Patent No: US7,024,214, US7,493,130, US7,725,584, US6,219,694,
US5,406,643, US7,275,116
Matter: Wrote an invalidity expert report, wrote a response to an infringement report. Testified in depositions 7/21/2011 re: Patent litigation related to wireless and mobile devices, including smartphones and tablets, 2011.

Case Name: **EIT** v. YELP! Inc. 3:10-cv-05623-WHA (ND CA)
Client: Goldstein & Lipski, P.LLC (Houston, TX)
Patent No: US5,828,837, US6,249,868, US6,594,765, US6,081,786,

US6,188,988, US7,298,271, USRE40,683, US8,060,504
Matter: Wrote an expert report re: Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies, network communications, 2011.

Case Name: **Software Research**, Inc. v. HP 3:11-cv-1676-JSW (ND, CA)
Client: Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)
Patent No: US7,231,606, US7,757,175
Matter: Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011 re: Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e-commerce, network communications, 2011.

Case Name: Yipes v. **Wyckoff Medical** Center AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG
Client: David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA)
Matter: Testified in depositions. Testified before an arbitrator re: Network communications infrastructure, Unified Communications, 2011.

Case Name: HP v. **Alacritech** USPTO Interference No. 105,775
Client: Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP
Patent No: US6,246,683, US6,226,680, US6,697,868
Matter: Wrote an expert report, an expert declaration, a response expert report, and a reply expert report. Testified twice in depositions re: USPTO Interference: Patents related to network communications protocols, TCP/IP Protocol Suite, Internet Protocols, 2011.

Case Name: Fortinet v. **Palo Alto Networks** 5:09-cv-00036-RMW (ND, CA)
Client: Durie Tangri, LLP (Palo Alto, CA)
Patent No: US7,519,990, US1,111,111, US7,376,125, US7,177,311, US6,930,978
Matter: Wrote an invalidity expert report. Testified in depositions. re: Patent litigation related to network communications filtering, routing, packet switching, 2010.

Case Name: **Backweb** Technologies v. Microsoft 4:09-cv-01224-CW (ND, CA)
Client: Hausfeld, LLP (San Francisco, CA)
Patent No: US6,374,289, US6,493,758, US6,539,429, US5,913,040, US6,317,789, US6,539,429, US7,512,943, US7,139,815, US7,216,180, US6,920,110, US7,325,068
Matter: Wrote an expert report re: Patent litigation related to Data Communications, network communication bandwidth allocation, routing, packet switching, 2010.

Case Name: **Linksmart** Wireless v. Cisco Systems, T-Mobile
4:09-cv-01224-CW (ND, CA), 2:08-cv-00385, 2:09-cv-00026
Client: August & Kabat (Los Angeles, CA)
Patent No: US6,779,118
Matter: Wrote an expert report. Testified in deposition. re: Patent litigation

related to network communication, Internet Protocols, dynamically redirecting and filtering Internet traffic, 2010.

Case Name: Zand v. **Armin** 1-09-FL-149015

Client: Law Offices of Rod Firoozye (Palo Alto, CA)

Matter: Wrote an expert report. Testified in a deposition. Testified in court re: iPhone email system. Email origination in iPhone, desktop computer, and laptop, 2010.

Case Name: **Teleconference System** v. Tandberg

3:10-cv-01325-JSW (ND, CA)

Client: The Simon Law Firm, PC (St. Louis, MO)

Patent No: US6,980,526

Matter: Investigated patent and related technologies re: Network protocols in the teleconference system. Video Teleconferencing, Video streaming, 2010.

Case Name: F5 Networks v. **A10 Networks** 2:10-cv-00654-MJP (WD WASH)

Client: Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP

Patent No: US7,102,996, US7,395,349, US6,311,278

Matter: Invalidity investigation, a search for prior art re: Patents related to network communications, firewalls, and load balancing, 2010.

Case Name: **IP Innovation** v. Google 2:07-cv-503-RRR (ED TX)

Client: Niro Scavone Haller & Niro

Patent No: US5,276,785, US5,675,819

Matter: Wrote an infringement expert report and a rebuttal expert report re: Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies, 2009.

Case Name: **Trace Wilco**, Inc. v. Cisco 5:09-cv-000810-SI

Client: Niro Scavone Haller & Niro

Patent No: US6,158,011, US6,061,796, US7,325,063, US5,796,948

Matter: Investigated patents and related technologies re: Network communications protocols. Routing, switching, VoIP, and video streaming, 2009.